

Appendix 3 – Risk Assessment

| Risk ID | Risk | | | | | | Corporate Objective | Gross Risk | | Residual Risk | | Current Risk | | Owner | Date Risk Reviewed | Proximity of Risk (Projects/ Contracts Only) |
|--------------------------------|--|--------------------|--|---|---|-----------------|---------------------|------------|---|---------------|---|--------------|---|---------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Category-000-Service Area Code | Risk Title | Opportunity/Threat | Risk Description | Risk Cause | Consequence | Date raised | 1 to 5 | I | P | I | P | I | P | | | |
| CEB-001-PS | Reputational risk (Authority Monitoring Report) | Threat | Failure to achieve Planning Policy targets | There could be a range of causes, some of which may be external (e.g., the state of the economy) and some internal (failure to properly implement policies). | Reputation of the City Council could be adversely affected in the eyes of the community and stakeholders. | 1 November 2023 | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | Head of Planning Services | | |
| CEB-001-PS | Reputational risk (Infrastructure Funding Statement) | Threat | Funding of infrastructure via developer contributions could be perceived as inadequate | There could be a range of causes, some of which may be external (e.g., the state of the economy) and some internal (failure to appropriately assign funding). | Reputation of the City Council could be adversely affected in the eyes of the community and stakeholders. | 1 November 2023 | 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Head of Planning Services | | |

This page is intentionally left blank